

United States Patent and Frademark Office Articles COMMISSIONLES Con Products P.O Bot 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 (www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/750,464	50,464 12/31/2003		Justin R. Barone	0066.03	9866	
25295	7590	03/09/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
USDA, AR	•	T/C	RAJGURU. U	RAJGURU. UMAKANT K		
RM 4-1159	5601 SUNNYSIDE AVE RM 4-1159				PAPER NUMBER	
BELTSVILI	BELTSVILLE, MD 20705-5131			1731		
				DATE MAILED: 03/09/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			:5					
	Application No	. Applicant(s)						
	10/750,464	BARONE ET AI	L.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Umakant K. Raj	guru 1711						
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	ication appears on the cove	er sheet with the correspondence	address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory matutory period will apply and will expire will, by statute, cause the application	wever, may a reply be timely filed inimum of thirty (30) days will be considered tire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nely. s communication.					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>17 November 2004</u> .							
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2	2b)⊠ This action is non-fir	nal.						
3) Since this application is in condition	for allowance except for fo	ormal matters, prosecution as to f	the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practic	ce under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,	1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the ap	plication.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 is/are wit	hdrawn from consideratior	1.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any object	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the	e attached Office Action or form	PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action	documents have been recondocuments have been reconf the priority documents hall bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	eived. eived in Application No have been received in this Nation 2(a)).	al Stage					
Attachment(s)	<b>,</b> -							
1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P		Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08) 5) 🔲	Notice of Informal Patent Application (P	TO-152)					

Art Unit: 1711

1. A response has been filed on November 17, 2004.

- 2. Claims 1-9 are being examined.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3 & 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Donofrio.

Donofrio protein-enriched thermoplastics (abstract). Suitable polymers are set forth in col. 2, line 62 to col. 3, lines 38. Polypropylene is one of them. Keratin is admixed with the thermoplastic polymer (col. 4, line 61). A molded article is formed from the composition (col. 61, lines 50-63).

Above claims therefore lack novelty.

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 1 and 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Donofrio et al (US 5808012) in view of Donofrio et al (US 5723588) and Fleischner (US 4818520).

Disclosure of Donofrio '012 is set forth in item 4 above.

Donofrio '012 does not mention (a) amount of keratin (of instant claim 4) and, (b) species of avian feathers (of instant claims 5-8).

Donofrio '588 also describes protein enriched thermoplastics. In col. 4, lines 7-13, patentee suggests an amount of polypeptide to be useful in the composition as 10, 000 ppm which turns out to be 1%. This amount falls within the range claimed in instant claim 4.

Fleischner disclose keratin protein hydrolysate products. In col. 1, lines 17-20, the patentee describes avian feather as a species of keratin.

Therefore it would have been obvious to use, in the composition of Donofrio '012 (a) amount of kerain taught by Donofrio "588 and (b) avian feathers as a specific keratin in order to prepare a composition with better mechanical properties, and at low cost.

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

8. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 is vague in reciting "percent by weight or volume". The precise scope of this claim cannot be understood because the amount of keratin by weight may not necessarily be the same as the one by volume.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to U. K. Rajguru whose telephone number is (571) 272-1077. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1711

U. K. Rajguru/af February 24, 2004

> James J. Seidleco Supervisory Patent Examination Technology Center 170: